



eThekweni Municipality –Strategic Projects Unit

UPGRADING OF DURBAN CENTRAL BEACHFRONT : BUILDING WORKS AT VARIOUS COMMERCIAL NODES

E1.2 Submission Data

The conditions applicable to this call for expressions of interest of tender are the Standard Conditions for the calling for Expressions of Interest as contained in Annex H of the CIDB Standard for Uniformity in Construction Procurement (February 2008) as published in Government Gazette No 30692, Board Notice 9 of 2008 of 1 February 2008. (See www.cidb.org.za).

The Standard Conditions for the calling for Expressions of Interest make several references to the Submission Data for details that apply specifically to this submission. The Submission Data shall have precedence in the interpretation of any ambiguity or inconsistency between it and the Standard Conditions for the calling for Expressions of Interest.

Each item of data given below is cross-referenced to the clause in the Standard Conditions for the calling for Expressions of Interest.

Clause number	Tender Data
H.1	The Employer is the eThekweni Municipality –Strategic Projects Unit
H.1.2	The documents associated with the calling for expressions of interest issued by the employer comprise: Part E.1: Submission procedures E.1.1 Invitation and notice for submissions of expressions of interest E.1.2 Submission data Part E.2: Returnable documents E.2.1 List of returnable documents E.2.2 Submission schedules Part E.3 Indicative scope of work E.3 Indicative scope of work
H.1.4	The employer's agent is: Name: Mr Dinesh Dial Address: 70 Masabalala Yengwa Ave (formerly NMR Ave) Please submit all enquiries via E-mail to : diald@durban.gov.za
H.2.1	Contractors are eligible to make submissions if they are registered with a CIDB grading of 7GB or higher.

H.2.5	There are no compulsory clarification meetings.
H.2.7	The employer's address for delivery of EOI submission, including returnable documents and other supporting documents, should be submitted at the tender box, Ground Floor, Engineers' Unit, 166 K E Masinga Road (previously Old Fort Road), Durban no later than 11H00 on Friday 17 April 2009. Identification details to be shown on each submission package.
H.2.9	The closing time for submissions is as stated in the Notice and Invitation to Submit an Expression of Interest.
H.2.9	Telephonic, telegraphic, telex, facsimile or e-mailed submissions offers will not be accepted.
H.3.9	<p>All respondents who submit responsive submissions and:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) a) submit an original valid Tax Clearance Certificate issued by the South African Revenue Services or have made arrangements to meet outstanding tax obligations; b) are registered with the Construction Industry Development Board in an appropriate contractor grading designation; c) do not have any of their directors/shareholders is not listed on the Register of Tender Defaulters in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act of 2004 as a person prohibited from doing business with the public sector; d) have not abused the Employer's Supply Chain Management System or have failed to perform on any previous contract and have been given a written notice to this effect; e) have completed the Compulsory Enterprise Questionnaire and who are considered by the Employer not to have any conflicts of interest which may impact on their ability to perform the proposed contract in the best interests of the Employer or potentially compromise the tender process and are free of persons in the state who are not permitted to submit tenders or to participate in the contract; and f) are registered and in good standing with the compensation fund or with a licensed compensation insurer; <p>will have their submissions scored</p>

2) The evaluation criteria that are to be scored and the maximum score assigned to each of such criteria are as follows:

Evaluation criteria that are scored	Subcriteria	Maximum number of points
Approach Paper	Summary of tenderers approach to Quality Control and Site Safety in implementing the building works within the central beachfront area.	2
Organisation and Staffing Details	Details of tenderers human, and plant and equipment resources for ; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Construction Management ▪ Construction Implementation ▪ Quality Control and Site Safety 	2
Contractors Experience	Details of Completed Projects supported by Reports from Clients	3
Qualifications and Experience of Key Staff	Submission of CV's of resources for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Construction Management ▪ Construction Implementation ▪ Quality Control and Site Safety 	3
Maximum possible score (E_s)		10

3) The evaluation criteria shall be scored independently by not less than three evaluators in accordance with the following schedules:

The scores of each of the evaluators will be averaged, weighted and then totalled to obtain the final evaluation score.

All responsive respondents will be invited to submit tender offers provided that they score above 6 points

Each evaluation criteria will be assessed in terms of five indicators – no response, poor, satisfactory, good and very good. Scores of 0, 40, 70, 90 or 100 will be allocated to no response, poor, satisfactory, good and very good, respectively.

The prompts for judgment and the associated scores used in the evaluation of quality shall be as follows:

Score	Prompt for judgement
0	Failed to address the question / issue
20	A detrimental response / answer / solution – limited or poor evidence of skill / experience sought or high risk that relevant skills will not be available
40	Less than acceptable – response / answer / solution lacks convincing evidence of skill / experience sought or medium risk that relevant skills will not be available.
60	Acceptable response / answer / solution to the particular aspect of the requirements and evidence given of skill / experience sought
80	Above acceptable – response / answer / solution demonstrating real understanding of requirements and evidence of ability to meet it.
100	Excellent – response / answer / solution gives real confidence that the tenderer will add real value.

The scores of each of the evaluators will be averaged, weighted and then totalled to obtain the final score for quality.